1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
10	DOUGLAS JAMES ASHBY,	
11	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. 2:17-CV-01576-DWC
12	v.	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO APPOINT COUNSEL
13	NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting Commissioner of Social Security,	
14	Defendant.	
15 16	Plaintiff Douglas James Ashby, proceedi	ng pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this action
17	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). See Dkt. 1, 2, 3. Currently pending in this case is Plaintiff's	
18	Application for Court-Appointed Counsel. Dkt. 6.	
19	In "exceptional circumstances," a district court may appoint counsel for indigent civil	
20	litigants pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1) (formerly 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d)). Terrell v. Brewer,	
21	935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Rand v. Roland, 113F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997),	
22	overruled on other grounds, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998); see 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). To decide	
23	whether exceptional circumstances exist, the Court must evaluate both "the likelihood of success	
24	on the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to	articulate his claims pro se in light of the

1	complexity of the legal issues involved." Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir.	
2	1986) (quoting Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983)). A plaintiff must plead	
3	facts showing he has an insufficient grasp of his case or the legal issues involved and an	
4	inadequate ability to articulate the factual basis of his claims. Agyeman v. Corrections Corp. of	
5	America, 390 F.3d 1101, 1103 (9th Cir. 2004).	
6	Here, Plaintiff submitted an Application for Court-Appointed Counsel form indicating he	
7	has contacted at two attorneys regarding this case and was advised they would not represent him	
8	because of a defaulted student loan. Dkt. 6. Plaintiff provides no reasons explaining why he	
9	needs court-appointed counsel. <i>Id.</i> The Court notes this case does not involve complex facts or	
10	law, and Plaintiff has not shown an inability to articulate the factual basis of his claims in a	
11	fashion understandable to the Court. Plaintiff has also not shown he is likely to succeed on the	
12	merits of his case.	
13	As Plaintiff has not shown exceptional circumstances exist in this case, Plaintiff's	
14	Application for Court-Appointed Counsel is denied without prejudice.	
15	Dated this 27th day of October, 2017.	
16	Mo Minto	
17	David W. Christel	
18	United States Magistrate Judge	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		